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n early 2020, the rapidly spreading novel coronavirus and its associated deadly disease, COVID-19, drastically altered the health care delivery system in the United States. In March 2020, the President declared a national emergency. This declaration, coupled with the nationwide public health emergency declaration from the Secretary of Health and Human Services in January, triggered new flexibilities for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address the pandemic in the Medicare program. In the subsequent weeks, CMS issued numerous Public Health Emergency (PHE)-related rules, waivers and guidance.

As of this writing, Congress has passed four bills relating to the COVID-19 crisis. While there are some Medicare coverage provision changes in the four bills, most of the Medicare-related changes have been issued by CMS through regulation and sub-regulatory guidance. This article focuses on CMS’s regulatory response to the crisis, highlighting a few of the most important changes: expansion of telehealth services; waivers of statutory and regulatory requirements for nursing facilities; and coverage for COVID-19 testing.

The Center for Medicare Advocacy has compiled, and continues to update, a comprehensive catalogue of the wide-ranging changes in the Medicare program during the pandemic, available at https://medicareadvocacy.org/covid-19-advocates-guide-to-medicare-changes/.

While most of the Medicare-related changes are retroactive to March 1, 2020, and will last until the Public Health Emergency related to the COVID-19 crisis is lifted, this article examines changes, including those that are likely to be extended.

TELEHEALTH SERVICES

Early data suggests that COVID-19 is particularly harmful for older adults. As a result, much of CMS’s regulatory flexibility has been aimed at practical ways to remove barriers to urgent and necessary health care, while minimizing potential exposure of older adults to the virus. Therefore, one of the chief Medicare policy changes responding to the pandemic is the broad expansion of coverable telehealth services.

During the health emergency, CMS is permitting Medicare beneficiaries to receive coverage for a wider range of health care services without having to travel to a facility. CMS accomplished this by waiving a number of requirements in order to expand the list of providers who can offer telehealth services during the emergency. This has been accomplished by permitting routine visits, preventive health screenings for cancer and other illnesses to be included in telehealth, and by providing payment parity for telehealth. More than 80 additional services are covered by Medicare when furnished via telehealth, including emergency department visits, initial nursing facility and discharge visits, and home visits.

During the pandemic, telehealth has been critical in limiting disruptions in care while protecting beneficiaries from the spread of the deadly virus. CMS has signaled that it intends to make many of the Medicare telehealth changes permanent after the conclusion of the current crisis. There is also widespread support in Congress for expanding telehealth benefits.

Given the likelihood of continued telehealth expansion, future policy proposals should stress that telehealth cannot replace face-to-face visits; rather, telehealth should be considered an important complementary tool to in-person services. One concern with increasing reliance on tele-
health as a substitute for personalized in-person services is that it could exacerbate existing health disparities, many of which were starkly exposed by the pandemic. Early COVID-19 research and data suggest a correlation between low incomes, communities of color and risks of illness and severity of illness.11

Many underserved beneficiaries do not have reliable broadband and have limited, if any, access to digital literacy training and remote technologies. Access to reliable internet must be factored into telehealth policy.12 Additionally, difficulty hearing well enough to use a telephone, even with hearing aids, along with difficulty seeing well, difficulty speaking, and limited English proficiency are all factors that could jeopardize health outcomes when care is only provided virtually.13

Any expansion of telehealth must ensure privacy and data security for personal health information. HIPAA privacy protections, which have largely been suspended for telehealth interactions between patient and provider during the pandemic,14 must apply to such interactions. Personal health data must also be kept secure.15

Additionally, expanding telehealth must not be used as a means to further weaken Medicare Advantage network adequacy requirements. CMS has already begun this process by allowing Medicare Advantage plans to meet weaker network standards if they provide certain services via telehealth.16 This essentially allows plans to “count” certain telehealth availabilities toward the standard of how many providers are within the time and distance required for a large percentage of their enrollees. Unfortunately, the end result of weakened network requirements is that beneficiaries may end up having to pay more for out-of-network providers when they cannot find an accessible provider in their plan’s network of providers.

HIPAA privacy protections, which have largely been suspended for telehealth interactions between patient and provider during the pandemic, must apply to such interactions. Personal health data must also be kept secure.

SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES
During the public health emergency, CMS has approved a waiver that expands Medicare coverage of skilled nursing facilities (SNF). For individuals affected by COVID-19, CMS has waived the requirement that a patient must first have spent at least three consecutive days as an inpatient in an acute-care hospital in order for Medicare Part A to pay for a patient’s subsequent stay in a skilled nursing facility.17

While CMS cannot make this waiver permanent without legislation, CMS does have the authority and discretion under the Medicare statute to count all time in the hospital — including time spent in “observation status” — toward the three-day stay requirement.18 A large coalition of consumer and patient advocates, along with health care providers, support CMS exercising this authority to allow greater access to skilled nursing facility coverage beyond the pandemic.19

Despite the broadening access to SNF benefits resulting from the CMS waiver, many other CMS waivers have limited beneficiary protections for nursing home residents during this pandemic. CMS suspended certain reporting requirements, residents’ rights, nurse aide training rules and many oversight activities that are designed to protect nursing home residents.20 In addition, in the absence of federal leadership, nursing facilities have been in competition with each other for tests and personal protective equipment.21 These factors, as well as low staffing levels in many facilities, and infection control issues, have led to massive outbreaks in facilities.

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a staggeringly large number of deaths in nursing facilities. As of September 2020, over 80,000 deaths from COVID-19 occurred in long-term care facilities, which include nursing care facili-
ties, amounting to over 41% of COVID-19 deaths in the United States. In order to address these issues, CMS must fully reinstate Requirements of Participation as well as survey and enforcement activities that have been waived during the pandemic. CMS must also require nursing facilities to be transparent about COVID-19 infection and staffing levels in the nation’s nursing facilities retroactive to January 2020. A coordinated federal response for personal protective equipment and expanded testing for staff and residents is also essential.

CONCLUSION
CMS responded to the catastrophic and rapidly spreading COVID-19 pandemic with various waivers to regulations in the Medicare program. The pandemic waivers limited disruptions in care and provided expanded benefits in some cases, but also suspended some crucial beneficiary protections. Some waivers, such as expansions in telehealth, are likely to continue after the pandemic.
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